Genome 373 4/17/17

Homework recap Extra review and practice A bit of programming (maybe) Reminder: Office hours Foege S-040, Mondays 4:30-5:30

- Homework 2 question 2: Can you use dynamic programming to find the optimal alignment with an affine gap penalty?
 - What's optimal?

• What's dynamic programming?

- Can you use dynamic programming to find the optimal alignment with an affine gap penalty?
 - **Optimal/best:** whatever we define it to be. How does using an affine gap change our definition of best?

ACCCTCCG vs ACCCTCCG ACC-CAC-G ACC--ACG

- Can you use dynamic programming to find the optimal alignment with an affine gap penalty?
 - Optimal/best: whatever we define it to be. Affine gap penalty defines fewer larger gaps as better than many smaller gaps.

• What's dynamic programming? (The name is not helpful)

- Can you use dynamic programming to find the optimal alignment with an affine gap penalty?
 - Optimal/best: whatever we define it to be. Affine gap penalty defines fewer larger gaps as better than many smaller gaps.
 - **Dynamic programming:** solve an instance of a problem by taking advantage of solutions for subparts of the problem

Dynamic programming stores **partial** best solutions to avoid spending time calculating many full solutions



Other uses of dynamic programming

• Solving Hidden Markov Models to identify genes

• Finding the *longest common subsequence* of 2 text files with *diff*

• Signal processing algorithms

• Many more!

For affine gap we need to use a more complicated version of the DP algorithm

- to do in $O(n^2)$ time, need 3 matrices instead of 1
 - $M(i, j) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{best score given that } x[i] \text{ is} \\ \text{aligned to } y[j] \end{array}$
 - $I_x(i,j)$
 - $I_y(i,j)$

- best score given that *x*[*i*] is aligned to a gap
- best score given that *y*[*j*] is aligned to a gap

For affine gap we need to use a more complicated version of the DP algorithm

$$M(i, j) = \max \begin{cases} M(i-1, j-1) + s(x_i, y_j) & \text{match } x_i \text{ with } y_j \\ I_x(i-1, j-1) + s(x_i, y_j) & \text{insertion in } x \\ I_y(i-1, j-1) + s(x_i, y_j) & \text{insertion in } y \end{cases}$$

$$I_x(i, j) = \max \begin{cases} M(i-1, j) + h + g & \text{open gap in } x \\ I_x(i-1, j) + g & \text{extend gap in } x \end{cases}$$

$$I_{y}(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} M(i,j-1) + h + g & \text{open gap in } y \\ I_{y}(i,j-1) + g & \text{extend gap in } y \end{cases}$$

For affine gap we need to use a more complicated version of the DP algorithm



HW question 5b

- Suppose your database is random sequences with 25% of each nucleotide
 - You have a sequence that is 80% A-T
 - Probability of a match between any given base in your sequence w/ one in the database?

HW question 5b

- Suppose the database is random sequences with 25% of each nucleotide
 - You have a sequence that is 80% A-T
 - Probability of a match between any given base in your sequence w/ one in the database = still 0.25
- Suppose the database is random sequences with the same nucleotide content as your sequence: 80% A-T.
 - Now what?

HW question 6c: Probability calculations review

- What is the probability that at least one of these scores will have a low p-value by chance? = What's the probability that seq 1 or seq 2 or ...seq 1000 will have a p-value that low?
 - *Any/one or more = OR*
 - *All* = *AND*

HW question 6c: Probability calculations review

- What is the probability that at least one of these scores will have a low p-value by chance? = What's the probability that seq 1 or seq 2 or ...seq 1000 will have a p-value that low?
 - Any/one or more = OR: Use 1 p(All of them will NOT)
 - $= 1 (0.99995)^{1000} = 0.0488$
 - *All* = *AND*

Local alignment tracebacks: Start from the highest score!

• Don't include anything from either end that worsens the alignment





Note: Problem-solving intuition

- Look at your final answer and ask yourself if it makes sense
- E.g. All cells with positive numbers should have arrows pointing in
- Does my local alignment have gaps on the end?
- Does my global alignment include all bases from the original sequences?

Fitch algorithm, bottom up phase: what is the parsimony score?

1. Initialization: $R_i = \{s_i\}$

- 2. Traverse the tree from leaves to root ("post-order")
- 3. Determine *R_i* of internal node *i* with children *j*, *k*:

$$R_{i} = \begin{cases} if \ R_{j} \cap R_{k} \neq \phi \rightarrow R_{j} \cap R_{k} \\ otherwise \rightarrow R_{j} \cup R_{k} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Site 1 G GT T G T T

1 change parsimony score of 1



2. Fitch's algorithm: Top-down phase

(Pick a state for each internal node)

1. Pick arbitrary state in R_{root} to be the state of the root , s_{root}

2. Traverse the tree from root to leaves ("pre-order")

3. Determine *s_i* of internal node *i* with parent *j*:

$$s_{i} = \begin{cases} if \quad s_{j} \in R_{i} \to s_{j} \\ otherwise \to arbitrary \quad state \in R_{i} \end{cases}$$





Fitch algorithm practice

